

Science

In science, reasoning and argument are essential for identifying the strengths and weaknesses of a line of reasoning and for finding the best explanation for a natural phenomenon. Scientists must defend their explanations, formulate evidence based on a solid foundation of data, examine their own understanding in light of the evidence and comments offered by others, and collaborate with peers in searching for the best explanation for the phenomenon being investigated.

Engineering

In engineering, reasoning and argument are essential for finding the best possible solution to a problem. Engineers collaborate with their peers throughout the design process, with a critical stage being the selection of the most promising solution among a field of competing ideas. Engineers use systematic methods to compare alternatives, formulate evidence based on test data, make arguments from evidence to defend their conclusion, evaluate critically the ideas of others, and revise their designs in order to achieve the best solution to the problem at hand. (Framework, p. 52)

See [A Framework for K-12 Science Education, 2012, p. 71](#) for the [entire text](#) for Practice 7: Engaging in argument from evidence.

In the video below from [BozemanScience.com](#), Paul Andersen explains the importance of argumentation in improving both understanding and design. This video begins with a discussion of the heliocentric and geocentric model of the universe that eventually led to the Copernican Revolution. It highlights the importance of both informal and formal arguments.

https://youtu.be/9RJeVY0-WrA?list=PLi8HVli-fejYMV_aB3-hYUwR14W7Ouran

For more, refer to the [MPRES Math and Science Partnership Presentation](#) by MPRES Teacher Terri Daily below, and also available on [prezi.com](#).

Engaging in Argument from Evidence Progression through Gradebands:

Grades K-2	Grades 3-5	Grades 6-8	Grades 9-12
<p>Engaging in argument from evidence in K–2 builds on prior experiences and progresses to comparing ideas and representations about the natural and designed world(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify arguments that are supported by evidence. Distinguish between explanations that account for all gathered evidence and those that do not. Analyze why some evidence is relevant to a scientific question and some is not. Distinguish between opinions and evidence in one’s own explanations. Listen actively to arguments to indicate agreement or disagreement based on evidence, and/or to retell the main points of the argument. Construct an argument with evidence to support a claim. Make a claim about the effectiveness of an object, tool, or solution that is supported by relevant evidence. 	<p>Engaging in argument from evidence in 3–5 builds on K–2 experiences and progresses to critiquing the scientific explanations or solutions proposed by peers by citing relevant evidence about the natural and designed world(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compare and refine arguments based on an evaluation of the evidence presented. Distinguish among facts, reasoned judgment based on research findings, and speculation in an explanation. Respectfully provide and receive critiques from peers about a proposed procedure, explanation, or model by citing relevant evidence and posing specific questions. Construct and/or support an argument with evidence, data, and/or a model. Use data to evaluate claims about cause and effect. Make a claim about the merit of a solution to a problem by citing relevant evidence about how it meets the criteria and constraints of the problem. 	<p>Engaging in argument from evidence in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world(s).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compare and critique two arguments on the same topic and analyze whether they emphasize similar or different evidence and/or interpretations of facts. Respectfully provide and receive critiques about one’s explanations, procedures, models, and questions by citing relevant evidence and posing and responding to questions that elicit pertinent elaboration and detail. Construct, use, and/or present an oral and written argument supported by empirical evidence and scientific reasoning to support or refute an explanation or a model for a phenomenon or a solution to a problem. Make an oral or written argument that supports or refutes the advertised performance of a device, process, or system based on empirical evidence concerning whether or not the technology meets relevant criteria and constraints. Evaluate competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria. 	<p>Engaging in argument from evidence in 9–12 builds on K–8 experiences and progresses to using appropriate and sufficient evidence and scientific reasoning to defend and critique claims and explanations about the natural and designed world(s). Arguments may also come from current scientific or historical episodes in science.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compare and evaluate competing arguments or design solutions in light of currently accepted explanations, new evidence, limitations (e.g., trade-offs), constraints, and ethical issues. Evaluate the claims, evidence, and/or reasoning behind currently accepted explanations or solutions to determine the merits of arguments. Respectfully provide and/or receive critiques on scientific arguments by probing reasoning and evidence, challenging ideas and conclusions, responding thoughtfully to diverse perspectives, and determining additional information required to resolve contradictions. Construct, use, and/or present an oral and written argument or counter-arguments based on data and evidence. Make and defend a claim based on evidence about the natural world or the effectiveness of a design solution that reflects scientific knowledge and student-generated evidence. Evaluate competing design solutions to a real-world problem based on scientific ideas and principles, empirical evidence, and/or logical arguments regarding relevant factors (e.g. economic, societal, environmental, ethical considerations).

Progression from [NGSS Appendix F pgs. 13-14](#).

Activities

Any activity that allows learners to draw conclusions and engage in argumentation from evidence are appropriate.

Awareness Questions:

1. From the background information, what new awareness do you have about engaging in argumentation from evidence?
2. In a 3-Dimensional classroom, how do you establish a culture of scientific argumentation?
3. What questions did the background raise for you?

Expose Belief Questions:

1. What are your current beliefs about this practice?
2. In what ways do you think you are using this practice?
3. What challenges do you see to using this practice?

Debrief activities by focusing on the conceptual understanding of the practice using the following prompts.

Resolve Belief Questions:

1. In what ways did this activity change your beliefs about engaging in argumentation?
2. How difficult was it to engage in argumentation?
3. What clarity was brought to the discussion through argumentation?

Extend the Concept Questions:

1. How do you currently help students to engage in argumentation from evidence in your classroom?
2. Review a recent lesson you taught and evaluate the effectiveness of allowing students to engage in argumentation from evidence.

Go Beyond Questions:

1. Share lessons in which you could implement the Practice of engaging in argumentation from evidence.
2. Ask a colleague to observe one of your lessons OR video yourself teaching and reflect specifically on defining problems and identifying constraints for this practice.
3. Use the [EQuIP Rubric for Lessons & Units: Science](#).

Student Work in the Practice

Fifth grade students prepare for, participate in, and debrief after a debate regarding the XL Pipeline.